Schreiner Memorial Library

April 22, 2015 Presentation for Library Trustees

Breakdown of Funding sources

Breakd	lown of Funding Sources for l	Lancas	ter Public	Library	
	*based on end-of-20	14 dat	a		
Source	Notes	Amou	nt Raised	Percent of total funding (including City Portion)	Percent of total funding (not including City Portion)
Individual/Local Business Donations (under \$25,000)	roughly 420 individuals and businesses, mostly local	\$	618,330.00	21.70%	33.44%
Benefactors: Large Donors, Businesses (over \$25,000) Families and individuals	8 different families	\$	757,750.00	34.76%	53.56%
Businesses	4 businesses	\$	150,000.00		
Land donation (amount made after sale)	2 of 3 lots sold:	\$	82,400.00		
Fundraising Events Bunny Hop 5K/1 mile Run (4 years)		\$	15,000.00	1.10%	1.70%
Sale of bags, shirts, etc Donation Jar money		\$ \$	1,240.00 4,000.00		
Other events (suppers, bake sales, etc)	various events over last 3-4 years	\$	11,200.00		
Grants CDBG		\$	102,900.00	3.82%	5.89%
Lancaster Community Fund	*will likely get more in coming years	\$	2,000.00		
Alliant Energy		\$	1,000.00		
Premier Co-op		\$	3,000.00		
Municipality City of Lancaster		\$	1,000,000.00	38.61%	
Lancaster Public Library Foundation	funds for building raised by Foundation and Library Board for a building project	\$	100,000.00		5.419
	Total including City Portion:	\$	2,848,820.00	Total Not including City:	\$ 1,848,820.00

Timeline of Events

• July 2003

July, 2003	The Library Director presented the Board with a list of issues
	for future discussion. The list included a recommendation the
	Board undertake a strategic planning process to include,
	among other things, "long term building needs (maintenance
	as well as possible expansion)." The Board also approved a
	library survey to gain input from patrons about the library's
	collections and services.

• September 2003

As part of the 2004 Budget request to the City, the Library Board adopted a set of goals which included: "Engage in a process of strategic planning and continuous improvement of library services." The Board also reviewed the results of the patron survey.

• Oct-Nov 2003

The Library Board authorized the beginning of new audiovisual collections of music on compact disc and movies on dvd in addition to new packaging for the existing collection of books on tane.

• April 2004

The Board approved new shelving for the audiobook collection (books on tape and cd).

• May-June 2004

The Board began a long range planning process by reviewing the publication Wisconsin Library Standards and determining where Lancaster stood in comparison with other libraries of similar size. At the same time, the Lancaster Public Library Foundation Board began discussing space needs and signaled its intention to commit funds to hire a consultant to assist the Library Board in analyzing space needs if the Board so 4/22/2 desired. Cari Schaffer

• July 2004

The Library Board reviewed a list of "Public Library Roles" and ranked them in priority order for Schreiner Memorial ' Library. The Board also authorized the Library Director to contact a well-known library building consultant from Wisconsin and ask for a proposal to conduct a space needs assessment.

- September 2004
- The Board reviewed the consultant's proposal and decided it wished to have a second proposal for comparison. It authorized the Director to contact a second consultant to obtain an alternate proposal.

- October 2004
- The board chose the proposal of George Lawson for a space needs analysis for Schreiner Memorial Library, to be funded by the Lancaster Public Library Foundation.

Tall plastic spinning rack for movies			
wood/plastic spinning rack for graphic novels	1	Very Poor	No
wooden book truck used for display	1	Very Poor	No
Children's new book end cap	2	Very Poor	No
plastic bin for board book storage	1	Good Condition	Yes
computer desks for children's computers	1	Good Condition	Yes
Desk Corralls with high backs	2	Poor Condition	No
Desk corralls with low backs		Fair to average	No
wood/ metal filing cabinets		Fair to average	No
Puzzle piece shelves	5	Acceptable but ugly	?
Microfilm file drawer cabinet	3	Good	Yes
	1	Acceptable but ugly	Yes
Director's desk	1	Poor bad setup	No
Map/Atlas Stand	1	Fair, could be refinished	Yes
D drawer stand	1	Fair, drawers are too full	?
look fabric bench	1	Fair, could be cleaned	Yes?
tolling technology cart (front desk)	1	Average Condition	No
rinter stand table		Poor	No
een folding chair	1	Poor	No
rojector Cart	1	Average Condition	Yes?
tory Time table	The second second	Poor	No
hrone	1	Poor	Refinish, y
mall Round Tables		all in basement	No
quare table, metal leg		all in basement	No
raphic Novel browsing bins (basement)	2	Good	No

Description of item	Quantity	Condition	Keep in New Facililty?
Rolling basket carrier	1	Good	Yes
Baskets (blue)	12?	Good	Yes
Tabletop Display Case	1	Fair Condition	?
School style metal desk	2	Good Condition	No
Floor standing dictionary stand	1	Fair Condition	Refinish, yes
Standing Display Case	1	Average Condition	No
A-Frame Shelving Units	2	Fair Condition	?
Small wooden display tables w/ removable tops	2	Average Condition	No
Double sided metal shelving carts	4	Refinish and Keep	Refinish, yes
Single sided metal shelving carts	2	Refinish and Keep	Refinish, yes
Red Picture Book Display shelving cart	1	Кеер	Yes
Large round wooden/laminate tables	3	Fair Condition	Not desired but possible
Large rectangle oak tables	6	Poor surface conditions	Not desired but possible
Computer chairs- Leather seat w/arms	3	Poor condition	No
Computer chairs- fabric w/ arms	the second s	Poor condition	No
computer chairs- fabric, no arms	~	Poor condition	No
counter height green chair		Sturdy but very scuffed	No
coll-out book drop carts		Poor usability	No
reebie book rack		Poor	No
Vooden magazine display racks	4	Fair Condition	Not desired but as with
anding wooden brochure display		Good Condition	Not desired but possible
ound coffee table		Fair Condition	Yes
Comfy" chairs by newspapers	the second s		No
	3	Very Poor	No
ewspaper rods and stand		V	No (want different display
ige wood/vinyl square chairs		Very Poor	layout)
een wood/vinyl chairs no arms		Fair Condition, ugly	No
ige fabric and wood chairs with arms		Fair Condition, ugly	No
wooden chairs		Fair Condition, ugly	No
o person rocking chair	and the second design of the s	Good	Yes
a sum b sum	1	Good Condition	Yes
4/22/2015	Cari Schaffer		12
	4	Fair, could be refinished	Yes

Shelving not attached to walls	
Stacks in Alcove	
Large print (old one)	
Large Print (new one)	Кеер
C-shaped BOCD cases	Кеер
Picture Book Stacks	
Tall Juvenile stacks	
Short YA/Ref stacks	
wall ref stack	
Board Book bookshelf	Keep?
Wooden book shelves in basement	?
Metal storage shelving in basement	

	-	and the second state of th			
Collection	Existing Number of Volumes	Projected Number of Volumes	% to	Projected Volumes	Projected
Adult		or volumes	Shelve	to Shelve	Capacity
		A The set of the set			
New, Display, and Paperbacks	1				
New Books	Included in other counts	Included in other counts	50	Included in	Included in
Topical Display	Included in	Included in	50	other counts	other counts
Paperback Exchange	other counts	other counts	50	Included in other counts	Included in other counts
Total	300	300	65	200	240
Carlos Concernation of the second	300	300	1 1 201	200	240
Young Adult				and the second s	
Fiction, Nonfiction, Biography	1,490	2005			
Recorded Books -Digital Device	1,490	2235	96	2145	2,160
and CD	37	88	90		
Periodicals	5 titles	5 titles	100	80 5 titles	120
Graphic Novels	602	903	92	5 titles 835	9 Titles
Total	2,134	3,226	32	3,060	840
And the second states of the				3,000	3,120
Media		San States			
Video – BluRay and DVD	1,624	3,500	80	2.800	2,880
Recorded Books - Digital Device				2,000	2,000
and CD Music – CD	781	1,720	85	1,465	1,560
Total	1,236	2,000	92	1,840	1,920
Total	3,641	7,220		6,105	6,360
Periodicals		La CONTE D'ANDRESS	1-		
Current Magazines					
Current Newspapers	50 titles	50 titles	100	50 titles	50 Titles
Back Issue Magazines	8 titles	10 titles	100	10 titles	10 Titles
	39 @ 1 year 7 at 1 month	39 @ 1 year 7 at 1 month	100	39 @ 1 year	39 @ 1 year
Back Issue Newspapers	1 at 60 month	1 at 60 month	100	7 at 1 month 1 at 60 month	7 at 1 month 1 at 60 month
					, at oo month
Reference	Charles Stranger	States and the second			
General Reference	300	300	100	300	384
Total	300	300		300	384
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				
_ocal History / Genealogy				a ser a ser al	
ocal History / Genealogy	700	800	100	800	840
Total	700	800	100	800	840
General Adult Collections			15		
arge Print - Fiction and Nonfiction	1,060	1,484	94	1,395	1,440
iction	7,000	9,450	95	8,975	9,072
Ionfiction and Biography	6,326	8,540	96	8,200	8,208
otal	14,386	19,474	Contraction of the second	18,570	18,720

Collection size and shelving

November 2004- March 2005

The consultant met with the Board, conducted a survey of library customers, compiled data, reviewed library blueprints, and submitted his report, titled "Needs Assessment and Space Utilization Recommendations, Schreiner Memorial Library."

April, 2005	At the suggestion of the City Administrator, the Library
1 '	Board approved the hiring of Strand Associates to review the
	Needs Assessment and address in particular issues related to
	structure and mechanical systems. The Library Foundation
	agreed to fund the review.

May, 2005Strand issued its report, which basically affirmed the
consultant's report and added some detail on structural and
mechanical issues. The Library Board then met with the City
Council to discuss the library's space needs and the two
reports and a "Special Library Committee" was formed to
review and make recommendations as to how to proceed.

June 2005

The Special Library Committee began to meet and continued to do so until November, 2007. At its first meeting, Mayor Wehrle challenged the group to consider expanding the project to address other community needs in a center that might include a museum, a band shell and a visitor's center staffed by the Chamber of Commerce. Invitations were extended to several community groups to join the committee meetings and provide input. Discussions continued for several months.

- January 2006
- The Library Board authorized the retention of consultant George Lawson to proceed with a detailed building program statement for the library portion of the project. The cost of the effort was again borne by the library Foundation.

September, 2006	The Library Board, the Grant County Historical Society and
	the Chamber of Commerce all provided written confirmation
	of their intent to participate and contribute funds toward the
	selection of an architect and preparation of a "pre-funding
	design" for a community center including an expanded
	library, a history center, a visitor's center and an outdoor
	performance venue.

- October 2006
- The Library Board gave final approval to its "Building Program Statement" prepared by George Lawson. This would provide an outline for the architect to use designing the library portion of the project.

• November 2006 The Special Library Committee became dormant, replaced by two subcommittees: a "Library/Cultural Center Review Committee" to select an architect for the project and oversee the preparation of a design; and, a "library/Cultural Center Fund Raising Committee" to select a fund raising consultant and oversee a fund raising feasibility study.

- December, 2006
- The review committee approved a "Request for Qualifications" document to invite architects to compete for the project.

- February 2007
- Following a rigorous review process, including site visits to projects designed by the contender and interviews with three finalists, the committee selected Durrant as architects for the pre-funding design.

- March-August 2007
- The committee met regularly with the architectural firm, conducted two town hall meetings to gain public input, approved a site location and finally approved a pre-funding design for the project. During the same time, the fund raising committee issued requests for proposals for a fund raising feasibility sutdy, interviews finalist and selected Church Stree Associates to conduct the study.

- November 2007
- Church Street submitted its report. A joint meeting was held by the Library Board, the original Special Library Committee and the two subcommittees. By consensus, the groups determined to move forward and try to raise private funds to complete the project. The group also decided to retain Church Streey to oversee the fund raising campaign. The Library Foundation committed up to \$45,000 toward the ocst of the campaign.

- January 2008
- An ad hoc campaign committee held meetings to organize a fund raising campaign. The Grant County Historical Society committed \$5000 toward the campaign. A campaign booklet was produced.

- The fund raising campaign began, the steering committee identified a list of potential lead donors and conducted private meetings with several to solicit large donation.
- Targeted mailings were sent to potential out of town donors.
- Steering committee members visited numerous local businesses in search of support

- Many small donations were received, but additional major donations were not forthcoming.
- A family helped launch the campaign with a gift of real estate, estimated value of \$300,00; the Library Foundation pledged \$100,000.
- The City applied for, but did not receive, a significant planning grant from the Kresge Foundation.
- The economy began a downward slide that discouraged fund raising efforts and the campaign was tabled.
- I joined the effort in 2010.

Lancaster Public Library Library Expansion Project Fact Sheet

When was the library in Lancaster started?

• In 1902, the Lancaster Women's Club started the first public library by state law in Grant County.

Does Anyone Still Use the Library?

- YES! Circulation of library materials has tripled in the past decade: 2003: 31,978 2013: 94,054
- Library public computers were used just under 13,000 times last year

How Much Space Is Being Added?

- The current building has 5,860 square feet on the main floor
- The proposed plan adds 10,550 square feet for a total of 16,410
- The current basement is 3,240 square feet and will not be expanded (it is not accessible to the public)

Where is the project now?

- We have raised over 2.8 million dollars in cash and pledges so far. This includes a 1 million dollar contribution from the City of Lancaster. That means that the rest has been raised through private donors and area businesses. We also won a Community Development Block Grant from the State.
- The library is moving into temporary quarters in May of 2014.
- Our official groundbreaking ceremony is July 5th.
- We hope to be in our new building by the end of summer in 2015!

For more information, contact:

Jennifer Bernetzke, Library Director Schreiner Memorial Library in Lancaster and Potosi ibernetzke@swls.org 608-723-7304

4/22/2015

Cari Schaffer

Fact Sheet

Questionnaire

APRIL 2012 SCHREINER MEMORIAL LIBRARY CAMPAIGN PLANNING STUDY SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE
Name:
QUESTIONS:
(1.) Are you or your family regular patrons of the library? Yes No Comments:
(2.) Are you familiar with the <u>revised</u> plans for the remodeled and expanduilding for the Schreiner Memorial Library? Yes No Comments:
(3.) Do you think the library expansion is needed? Yes No Unsure Comments:
(4.) What are the most compelling reasons to support the library project these reflected in the initial case statement you received with this survey the survey of the sur
(5.) How will the expanded library benefit the Lancaster community? (A.) Will it serve as a community gathering place? Yes No Unsure Comments:

Questionnaire

(B.) Will it increase the attraction of Lancaster to individuals moving to Southwest Wisconsin? Yes No Unsure Comments:
(6.) Do you feel that the residents of Lancaster will financially support a remodeled and expanded library? Yes No Unsure Comments:
(7.) What level of private donations do you think can be raised? \$3,000,000\$2,500,000\$2,000,000\$1,500,000 Other Comments:
(8.) What length of fund raising campaign will be needed to raise the amoun your answer to #7 above? 12 months18 months2 years
(9.) Do you agree with the idea of using "naming rights" for large contributio What areas are appropriate for naming rights, if any?
(10.) Which individuals, corporations, foundations would be most likely to contribute a leadership gift (\$100,000 pledged over 5 years) to the campaig

Questionnaire

